

SoilBiotics Residue Digester Shows Huge Benefits

This is a report from a SoilBiotics representative for a customer in 2020. It shows soil test results of a side by side comparison of 120 foot swaths in Alvin fine sandy loam soils. In early November 2019 an application of **SB Digester – Conventional** was applied to corn stalk residue on 120' passes. Every other pass was a check with no treatment. The treatment was left on the surface with no incorporation. The nutrient results, therefore are mostly from breakdown of the surface organic matter. Soybeans (Pioneer Xtend 4.0 maturity) were planted on April 7, 2020. Soil samples were taken on 6/12/2020 and 6/22/2020 for analysis. The first **Test** soil sample (#1 in Table 1 below) was taken 60 feet into the residue digester side. The first **Check** soil sample (#2 in Table 1 below) was taken from the other 120 foot swath at 60 feet in and is the untreated control.

Table 1

| GMS LABS Soil Testing for Precision Agriculture | | | | | | | | | | Soil Test Report | | | | | | | er | 41266 | | | |
|---|---------|-------------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------|-----------|--|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|--|
| 23877 E 00 N Rd, Cropsey, IL 61731, USA 309-377-2851 (O) | | | | | | | | | Dealer GMS Lab Client Greg L Brown Farm Langford Field Langford | | | | | | | eport D File of Sar Collect Receive Analyze | nples ed ed | 06/16 16 06/12 06/12 06/15 | | | |
| # | Lab ID | pH Water | pH * Buffer | LOI % | P Ib/ac | K Ib/ac | Ca lb/ac | Mg lb/ac | CEC * meq/100g | K * % sat. | Ca * % sat. | Mg * % sat. | H * % sat. | S ppm | Zn ppm | Mn ppm | Fe ppm | Cu ppm | B ppm | Na ppm | |
| 1 | 2021401 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 203 | 326 | 2,004 | 351 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 72.7 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 9 | 5.0 | 205 | 188 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 41 | |
| 2 | 2021402 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 173 | 183 | 1,260 | 236 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 72.1 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 6 | 3.7 | 108 | 135 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 42 | |
| | Average | 6.9 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 188 | 254 | 1,632 | 294 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 72.4 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.4 | 157 | 162 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 41 | |

indicates calculated parameter; % sat.: % base saturation
Tests performed by GMS Lab.

The second **Test** soil sample was taken from three rows (#1, #3, #5 in Table 2 below) also 60 feet into the **SB Digester** - **Conventional** side. The second **Check** soil sample (#2, #4, #6 in Table 2 below) was taken from the other 120 foot swath at 60 feet in and is the untreated control.

Table 2

| GMS LABS Soil Testing for Precision Agriculture | | | | | | | | Soil ⁻ | (| Orde | er | 41306 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-------|--------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|-----|--|
| 23877 E 00 N Rd, Cropsey, IL 61731, USA 309-377-2851 (O) | | | | | | | | | DealerGMS LabClientGreg L BrownFarmLangfordFieldLangford | | | | | | Report Date File No. of Samples Collected Received Analyzed | | | | 06/24/2020 174.1 6 06/22/2020 06/22/2020 06/23/2020 | | |
| # | Lab ID | pН | pH * | LOI | Р | ĸ | Ca | Mg | CEC * | К * | Ca * | Mg * | Н* | S | Zn | Mn | Fe | Cu | В | Na | |
| L | | Water | Buffer | % | lb/ac | lb/ac | lb/ac | lb/ac | meq/100g | % sat. | % sat. | % sat. | % sat. | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm | |
| 1 | 2021763 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 192 | 342 | 2,259 | 291 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 77.4 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 10 | 5.0 | 240 | 189 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 31 | |
| 2 | 2021764 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 134 | 240 | 1,313 | 207 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 73.7 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 8 | 3.6 | 139 | 149 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 29 | |
| 3 | 2021765 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 175 | 259 | 2,371 | 368 | 7.8 | 4.3 | 76.1 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 8 | 4.5 | 190 | 168 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 31 | |
| 4 | 2021766 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 156 | 215 | 2,081 | 334 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 75.7 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 11 | 5.0 | 139 | 154 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 20 | |
| 5 | 2021767 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 206 | 228 | 2,231 | 360 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 75.7 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 14 | 6.7 | 186 | 177 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 37 | |
| e | 2021768 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 178 | 189 | 1,769 | 345 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 72.5 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 10 | 6.1 | 127 | 151 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 44 | |
| | Average | 6.9 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 173 | 246 | 2,004 | 317 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 75.2 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 10 | 5.2 | 170 | 165 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 32 | |

* indicates calculated parameter; % sat.: % base saturation Tests performed by GMS Lab.

Summary: Soybeans were harvested on 10/3/2020. The customer reported a **10.15 bushel increase from check to treatment.** Cash soybeans at local elevator on the day of harvest were \$10.31/bu, resulting in an **ROI of \$104.65/A.** The soil test results show that application of **SB Digester - Conventional** resulted in a phenomenal breakdown of residue and increase in soil fertility. Organic Material **(OM)** content in the soil (shown as LOI on charts) averaged 1.53% for treated rows vs. 1.10% for the check rows, **a 39% increase!** These tests show that growers utilizing an effective residue digestion product can see significant, low-cost increases in soil fertility from existing organic matter with corresponding increases in total ROI from higher crop yields!